This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problems Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/894,803	06/29/2001	Simo Maenpaa	TU1.P29	3378	
7:	590 06/03/2003				
RICHARDSON & FOLISE			EXAMINER		
Suite 1801 1200 Fifth Ave		CROW, STEPHEN R			
Seattle, WA 9	8101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3764 DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	16	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				(11)				
		Application No		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/894,803		MAENPAA, SIMO				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Steve R Crow		3764				
<i>Th</i> Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication app ply	ears on the cove	er sheet with the co	errespondence address				
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re - Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we eply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, seceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing and term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how within the statutory m rill apply and will expire cause the application	vever, may a reply be time inimum of thirty (30) days a SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. ne mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1.			
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed on 24 N	<u> 1arch 2003</u> .						
2a)☐ Th	is action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-	final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of								
-	Claim(s) 3-14 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	☐ Claim(s) 3-14 is/are rejected.							
	im(s) is/are objected to. im(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requir	amant					
Application i		election require	cincii.					
· ·	specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
•	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep		ted to by the Exan	niner.				
Ар	plicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be he	eld in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The	proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approv	red b)□ disapprov	ed by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1.[1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buthe attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).					
	owledgment is made of a claim for domestic		-		on).			
a) 🗌	The translation of the foreign language pro owledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional applica	tion has been rece	eived.	·			
Attachment(s)	omoughton is made of a daint for domesti	o priority unuer	55 5.5.5. 33 120	and/01 121.				
1) Notice of F 2) Notice of E	References Cited (PTO-892) Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)	_	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3764

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 3-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is unclear how the receiver (such as a Polar heart rate monitor) can determine the intensity of the signal. The applicant has presented a hypothetical use of such a receiver. What structure has been added to the receiver to permit it to recognize different signal strengths? The evidence submitted by applicant does discuss electromagnetic field intensity theory, but the examiner contends that one skilled in the art, given applicant's disclosure, would not be able to produce the invention as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 3-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Huish et al or Trulaske.

Art Unit: 3764

Huish et al or Trulaske et al each show all of applicant's claimed structure, wherein a user has a Polar type heart rate monitor which transmits data to a microprocessor on the treadmill having control means which adjusts the speed and inclination of the treadmill in response to the signal. When the user is beyond the range of the receiver, no signal is received; therefore, the receiver is sensitive to the position of the user, hence, the receiver is responsive to the position of the user on the endless belt.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- I. Claims 3-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huish et al or Trulaske et al. in view of Shyu.

Huish et al or Trulaske et al utilize an electromagnetic sensor which broadly senses the position of the user on a treadmill as stated in the previous paragraph. Shyu teaches the use of electronic sensors for determining the position of the user on a treadmill. Given these teachings, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to utilize electromagnetic sensors to sense the discrete positions of the user on a treadmill in the manner performed and taught by the Shyu controller for user safety purposes.

5. Claims 3-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Potash et al in view of Huish et al or Trulaske.

Art Unit: 3764

Potash et al discloses an adaptive treadmill having an ultrasonic range finder located on the treadmill and for sensing the location of the user on the treadmill to respond in an appropriate manner to change the speed and/or slope.

Huish et al and Trulaske each teach the use of a transmitter which transmits a signal to a receiver located on the treadmill which then uses a controller to control and operate the treadmill belt speed and inclinations. Given these teachings, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the Potash et al treadmill by substituting an electromagnetic signal generating/receing means for the ultrasonic range finder as an equivalent means for sending and receiving user position data for user safety purposes.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 3-24-03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 7. The examiner contends that the Huish et al and Trulaske devices still meet the claimed invention. They employ Polar type heart rate monitors which transmit an electromagnetic signal to the treadmill. These systems primitively control the treadmill depending upon where the user is located. When the user is too frar away from the receiver (but still on the treadmill), a signal is not received and when the user is within the receivable range of the receiver, the signal is picked up; therefore, there are two field strengths detected:1-within range, and 2- out of range. The treadmill is here broadly controlled by the user location thereon.

Art Unit: 3764

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve R Crow whose telephone number is 703-308-3398. The examiner can normally be reached on Reg:8:30-6;Off First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 703-308-2698. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-306-4520 for regular communications and 703-306-4520 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0873.

sc

June 2, 2003

STEPHEN R. CROW PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 332